## SOME ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF USING WOOD COMPARED TO OTHER RAW MATERIALS

A dramatic Increase in the use of structural wood substitutes, including steel studs and plastic lumber, shows few signs of letting up in the United States, in contrast to reported trends in Australia and New Zealand. Some 1.5 billion board feet of substitute material was used in 1992, equivalent to 3% of total U.S.

lumber consumption. However, by 2003, the U.S. demand for structural substitutes could exceed 5.5 billion board feet, and some estimates predict that steel-framed homes will make up 25% of the new housing market in the next decade.

The question is: "How, in terms of energy required for production and the amount of emissions or effluents produced, do these alternative materials compare to their wood counterparts?"

Relative Energy Consumption To Produce A Ton Of		
Material	Energy	
Aluminum	70	
Steel	17	
Brick	3.1	
Concrete Blocks	3.0	
Dry Lumber	1.0	
Source: CORRIM I, National Research Council, 1976.		

Net Carbon Emissions In Producing A Ton Of		
Material	kg C/metric ton	
Framing lumber	-460	
Concrete	45	
Concrete block	49	
Brick	148	
Glass	630	
Steel	1,090	
Aluminum	2,400	
Plastic	2,810	
Source: Honey and Buchanan, Department of Civil Engineering,		
University of Canterbury, Christchurch, NZ, 1992.		

Comparative Energy Consumed in Manufacturing Wood vs. Steel-Framed Interior Wall (GJ)

Process	Wood Stud Wall	Steel Stud Wall
Extraction Manufacturing Construction Total	0.7 2.1 0.6 3.4	1.2 9.7 0.6 11.5
Source: Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1993.		

Comparative Emissions in Manufacturing Wood vs. Steel-Framed Interior Wall			
	Wood	Steel	
Emission	Wall	Wall	
CO2 (kg)	305	965	
CO (g)	2,450	11,800	
SOX (g)	400	3,700	
NOX (g)	1,150	1,800	
Particulates (g)	100	335	
VOCs (g)	390	1,800	
Methane (g)	4	45	
Source: Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1993.			

Comparative Emissions in Manufacturing Wood vs. Steel-Framed Interior Wall			
Effluent	Wood Wall	Steel Wall	
Suspended solids (g)	12,180	495,640	
Non-ferrous metals (mg)	62	2,532	
Cyanide (mg)	99	4,051	
Phenols (mg)	17,715	725,994	
Ammonia (mg)	1,310	53,665	
Halogenated organics (mg)	507	20,758	
Oil and grease (mg)	1,421	58,222	
Sulphides (mg)	13	507	
Source: Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1993.			

The energy relationships for different building materials are being updated in the CORRIM II project. A complete life-cycle energy accounting (including energy in transportation, recycling, glues, etc.) over the lifetimes of the various materials is a somewhat daunting task.

Athena (Forintek) in Canada and New Zealand have more recent numbers than the original CORRIM work, or Peter Koch's CINTRAFOR update in the early '90s. Jim Bowyer's slide presentation includes the more recent data.

Slides compliments of Jim Bowyer, April 19,2000 Department of Wood and Paper Science, University of Minnesota

Received from Tom Haswell, 541-757-7608, wt@haswell.org

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SOURCES**

"Wood versus nonwood materials in US residential construction." 1992. Forest Products Journal 42(5): 31-42. (considers wood, steel, aluminum, brick, concrete, carpet and pad.)

"Comparing the enviro effects of building systems." 1997? Wood the Renewable Resource N0. 4 (case study). Canadian Wood Council and Forintek Canada Corp. 11 pages. (considers wood, steel, and concrete in 3-story office buildings with underground parking)

Susan Alexander and Brian Greber. October 1991. *"Environmental Ramifications of Various Materials Used in Construction and Manufacture in the United States."* USDA Forest Service. Pacific NW Research Station. General Technical Report PNW-GTR-277.

Internet sites with "life-cycle" information

Canadian Wood Council <a href="http://www.cwc.ca/english/publications/technical\_bulletins/index">http://www.cwc.ca/english/publications/technical\_bulletins/index</a>

Environmental Properties of Timber from the Forest Wood & Products Research & Development Corporation <u>http://oak.arch.utas.edu.au/environment/env\_prop/env\_prop.html</u>

Alternative materials (general properties and +/- from a builders viewpoint), Rainforest Information Centre "Good Wood Project" <u>http://forests.org/ric/good\_wood/nont\_bld.htm</u>

The New South Wales (Australia) Rainforest Information Centre "Good Wood Guide" <a href="http://forests.org/ric/good\_wood/env\_imp.htm">http://forests.org/ric/good\_wood/env\_imp.htm</a>



MSU Upper Peninsula Forestry Extension Office 8/2000.

Michigan State University programs and materials are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, marital status, or family status.

Handouts: RawMaterialEnergy.doc